

March 10, 2016

The Honorable Chellie Pingree U.S. House of Representatives 2162 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Pingree:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Cedric L. Richmond U.S. House of Representatives 240 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Richmond:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Kathleen Rice U.S. House of Representatives 1508 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Rice:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Charles B. Rangel U.S. House of Representatives 2354 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rangel:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Mike Quigley U.S. House of Representatives 2458 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Quigley:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Collin C. Peterson U.S. House of Representatives 2204 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Peterson:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Scott Peters
U.S. House of Representatives
1122 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Peters:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Ed Perlmutter U.S. House of Representatives 1410 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Perlmutter:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Donald M. Payne U.S. House of Representatives 103 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Payne:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Bill Pascrell U.S. House of Representatives 2370 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pascrell:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Frank Pallone U.S. House of Representatives 237 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pallone:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Beto O'Rourke U.S. House of Representatives 1330 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman O'Rourke:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely, Mala



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Donald Norcross U.S. House of Representatives 1531 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Norcross:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Rick Nolan U.S. House of Representatives 2366 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nolan:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely, Mack



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Richard E. Neal U.S. House of Representatives 341 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Neal:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Grace F. Napolitano U.S. House of Representatives 1610 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Napolitano:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler U.S. House of Representatives 2109 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nadler:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Patrick Murphy U.S. House of Representatives 211 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Murphy:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,



March 10, 2016

The Honorable John Yarmuth U.S. House of Representatives 403 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Yarmuth:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely



March 10, 2016

The Honorable Frederica S. Wilson U.S. House of Representatives 208 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter urging the Commission to ensure the full disclosure of sponsors of political advertisements. Your views are very important, and I appreciate your sharing them with me.

As your letter indicates, the current definition of "editorial control" is the sponsoring organization. The Commission has yet to conduct a proceeding to review the definition. I understand you believe we should conduct such a proceeding, and I respect your suggestion.

Since becoming Chairman of the Commission, I have focused on expanding the public's access to information about political advertising. For example, by broadening the universe of entities required to disclose the sponsoring organization of political advertising in the Commission's online public inspection file database. As of July 2014, television broadcasters are required to identify an advertisement's sponsor. Further, on January 28, 2016, the Commission adopted rules to expand the online file requirements to include cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees.

These new rules expand the reporting under the current "editorial control" definition and will shine more sunlight on political and issue advertising. I appreciate fully that you believe the Commission should go farther.

Thank you for sharing your views with us. The fact that 170 members of congress is a significant statement, the significance of which is not lost.

Sincerely,